The evening bat (*Nycticeius humeralis*) inhabits deciduous forests in the eastern United States and northeastern Mexico (Watkins 1972). In Nebraska, *N. humeralis* originally was known from 1 locality in the extreme eastern part of the state (Jones 1964). Recent captures demonstrate that it is widespread and relatively common across eastern Nebraska (Benedict et al. 2000, Benedict 2004, Geluso et al. 2004). Nebraska represents part of the northwestern edge of the distribution for *N. humeralis*, and to date, little information has been published on its natural history in the Great Plains. Herein, we report on aspects of its natural history during late summer and early autumn from 2 localities in Nebraska. We also include information on distribution, diet, habitat, seasonal activity, and body mass.

**METHODS**

We captured most bats in 2.6- and 6.0-m mist nets (Avinet, Inc., Dryden, NY) along Prairie Dog Creek, Harlan County, Nebraska (40°00.797′N, 099°21.574′W, NAD 1927), but we also collected another bat by hand on 6 October 2005 outside a building at the University of Nebraska at Omaha, Douglas County. From August to October 2006, the creek in Harlan County was not flowing and contained isolated pools of water. Nets were set over water under the canopy of trees along the creek bed. Agricultural fields, including corn and alfalfa fields, surrounded the narrow band of trees immediately adjacent to the creek. Dominant trees included cottonwood (*Populus deltoides*), hackberry (*Celtis occidentalis*), American elm (*Ulmus americana*), and mulberry (*Morus* spp.).

After removal from nets and before their release, bats were held in small drinking cups for 1 hour to collect fecal pellets. We determined sex and measured body mass and forearm length of each individual, except for 2 individuals in August. Determination of juveniles was based on slightly tapered joints in the digits of the wings in contrast to the knobby joints of adults (Anthony 1988).

To examine diet of *N. humeralis*, we examined fecal pellets with a dissection microscope and followed techniques of Whitaker (1988). Number of fecal pellets examined varied from 1 to 7 for individuals, and all pellets from each bat were treated as 1 sample. We placed samples in a petri dish with 95% ethanol and pulled the pellets apart to observe small fragments of insects. We identified fragments to the lowest identifiable taxonomic group, usually to family. For each sample, we reported volume of each type of food as a percentage by visually estimating each food type. For the sum of all samples, we reported average percentage...
volume \left( \text{sum of sample volumes for a food type}/\text{total volume} \times 100 \right) \) and percentage frequency (total percentage of samples with that item).

Most bats captured in our study were released at the site of capture, but representative voucher specimens were deposited in the United States Geological Survey, Biological Survey Collection housed at the Museum of Southwestern Biology (MSB), University of New Mexico, Albuquerque. MSB numbers are given once in text for each voucher specimen.

### RESULTS

In 2006, we captured 16 individuals of *Nycticeius humeralis* along Prairie Dog Creek in late summer and early autumn, along with 1 big brown bat (*Eptesicus fuscus*). On 26 August, we captured 4 female *Nycticeius humeralis* (2 adults, MSB 125026; 2 juveniles, MSB 125027). On this date, neither juvenile contained visible cartilage in the bones of the wings, but joints were slightly tapered. Body masses and forearm measurements of vouchers were 9.5 g and 37.0 mm for the adult and 9.5 g and 40.0 mm for the juvenile. On 29 September, we captured 12 additional individuals at the same site. All individuals were females (MSB 125028 and 125029), except for a single male (MSB 125030); ages were not determined on this date. Mean mass of females 1 h after capture was 15.1 g (range 13.9–16.5 g, *n* = 11); the male had a mass of 11.9 g. Mean forearm length for females was 35.5 mm (range 37–41 mm), and the male measured 36.0 mm. On 6 and 7 October 2006, we returned to this site and failed to capture any additional bats.

We discovered remains of 7 families of insects belonging to 6 orders (Table 1) in fecal pellets of 14 individuals. The major food items were Coleoptera (beetles) and Hymenoptera (wasps and ants), composing 40.7% and 23.3% of the total volume, respectively. On 6 October 2005, the male *Nycticeius humeralis* captured in Omaha, Douglas County (MSB 125031), had a body mass of 12.4 g and a forearm measurement of 37.0 mm.

### DISCUSSION

#### Distribution

In recent years, the distribution of *Nycticeius humeralis* has expanded westward and northward in the Great Plains (Benedict et al. 2000, Sparks and Choate 1995, 2000, Lane et al. 2003, Benedict 2004). Westward movement of *Nycticeius humeralis* likely has followed riparian corridors with substantial patches of woodlands. For example, Sparks and Choate (1995, 2000) suggested that individuals recently reported from central Kansas were absent in the region in recent historic time because of a lack of suitable wooded habitats. In our study, we captured 16 individuals along Prairie Dog Creek, a tributary of the Republican River. Both watercourses currently contain continuous bands of riparian woodlands surrounded mainly by agricultural fields and upland prairies. Our records represent a 75-km range extension from the previous westernmost locality in Webster County (Czaplewski et al. 1979). Additional netting along the Republican River and its tributaries likely will show that this species occurs farther westward in the state.

#### Diet

The diet of *Nycticeius humeralis* has been examined in eastern parts of its range in Indiana (Whitaker and Clem 1992, Whitaker 2004), Illinois (Feldhamer et al. 1995), and South Carolina (Carter et al. 2004). To date, there are no data regarding diet from the Great Plains.

### Table 1. Diet of 14 evening bats (*Nycticeius humeralis*) based on analyses of fecal pellets. Bats were collected from Harlan County, Nebraska, in August (3 bats) and September (11 bats) 2006. Percentage volume represents averaged values for families of insects consumed, and summed totals for each order are placed in parentheses. Percentage frequency represents values for each family. For each order, overall percentage frequency is placed in parentheses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food items</th>
<th>Percentage volume</th>
<th>Percentage frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coleoptera</td>
<td>(40.7)</td>
<td>(100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scarabaeidae</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carabidae</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>71.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrophilidae</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>21.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chrysomelidae</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>28.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unidentified Coleoptera</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>35.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hymenoptera</td>
<td>(23.3)</td>
<td>(85.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hesperiidae</td>
<td>(10.7)</td>
<td>(82.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corisidae</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>57.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lygaeidae</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>71.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unidentified Hesperiida</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homoptera</td>
<td>(7.2)</td>
<td>(71.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cicadellidae</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>71.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lepidoptera</td>
<td>(6.4)</td>
<td>(28.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diptera</td>
<td>(0.6)</td>
<td>(29.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unidentified Insect</td>
<td>(11.3)</td>
<td>(71.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand total</td>
<td>(100.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Evening bats from Nebraska fed upon various orders of insects (Table 1), as reported from other states. Evening bats apparently have been classified as beetle strategists (see Sparks and Choate 2000), but they also regularly feed upon other groups of insects such as moths (Lepidoptera), leaf hoppers (Homoptera: Cicadellidae), true bugs (Hemiptera), and wasps and ants (Hymenoptera), depending on season and location (Whitaker and Clem 1992, Carter et al. 2004, Whitaker 2004; Table 1).

**Seasonal Activity**

Previous dates of activity for evening bats in Nebraska were from 9 May to 1 October (Benedict 2004). The capture of a bat on 6 October 2005 in Douglas County extends the late-seasonal date of activity of *N. humeralis* in Nebraska. In 2006, evening bats appeared to migrate from Prairie Dog Creek during late September–early October. On 6 and 7 October 2006, we attempted to capture additional individuals at this site only a week after we captured 12 individuals. In October, temperatures still were warm, and many night-flying insects were along the creek. We did not observe any bats flying along the creek during those nights and suspect that evening bats had migrated from the area.

Our dates of late-seasonal activity are similar to dates when other eastern populations vacate summer roosts to migrate. Watkins and Shump (1981) reported that all *N. humeralis* vacated a maternity roost in northern Missouri by 17 September; Watkins (1969) reported the departure of bats from maternity roosts in Missouri by 12 October, Humphrey and Cope (1968) reported that no bats were found in a roost in Indiana after 21 September; Smith and Parmalee (1954) reported that a large colony in an attic in Illinois left between 26 August and 1 November; and Clem (1992) reported that bats were last observed in a maternity roost in Indiana on 3 November.

**Body Mass**

In northern parts of its range, the evening bat is assumed to be migratory (Humphrey and Cope 1968, Watkins 1972), but see Boyles and Robbins (2006). Before seasonal movements in autumn, individuals amass subcutaneous deposits of fat. Baker et al. (1968) reported that *N. humeralis* from Georgia had body masses up to 14.7 g, with the highest levels of fat in October. Body masses of our individuals represented individuals that had obvious fat deposits and also individuals that had eaten before capture. Mean body mass of females (15.1 g) captured on 29 September in Nebraska represents some of the heaviest body masses reported for this species (Kunz 1965, Mumford 1969, Bee et al. 1981). Body masses in our study likely represent those just before migratory movements from Nebraska.

In winter, *N. humeralis* has been reported from various locations in the southeastern United States, but its winter range is not well defined (Boyles and Robbins 2006). Although evening bats have been shown to migrate (Humphrey and Cope 1968, Watkins 1969), both sexes are resident throughout the year as far north as southwestern Missouri (Boyles and Robbins 2006). To date, little is known about winter habits of *N. humeralis*, but individuals are known to roost in trees and underground in winter (Boyles et al. 2005, Boyles and Robbins 2006). Much information remains to be gathered on movements and other aspects of natural history for this species throughout its entire distribution, especially from autumn to spring.
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